21 C.J.S. Courts § 44

Corpus Juris Secundum | May 2023 Update

Courts

M. Elaine Buccieri, J.D.; James Buchwalter, J.D.; Amy G. Gore, J.D., of the staff of the National Legal Research Group, Inc; and Lonnie E. Griffith, Jr., J.D.

- **II. Jurisdiction of Courts**
- D. Jurisdiction of Person
- 1. General Considerations

§ 44. Nature, necessity, and effect of personal jurisdiction

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Courts 11

Jurisdiction of the person is the power of a court to bring before it the person to be affected by the judgment and to render a judgment binding on that person.

The power of a court to decide a case as a jurisdictional matter requires the court to have personal jurisdiction over the person or party, as well as subject matter jurisdiction over the controversy. Personal jurisdiction involves a court's power to make an adjudication applicable to a person, contrary to that person's legal interests, and binding the particular person. A court's personal jurisdiction refers to its power to impose judgment on a particular person. A judgment rendered by a court lacking personal jurisdiction is void.

The exercise of personal jurisdiction is limited by federal constitutional law⁸ as a restriction on judicial power as a matter of individual liberty.⁹

Personal jurisdiction comprises two distinct components: amenability to jurisdiction and service of process. ¹⁰

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

Footnotes	
1	U.S.—J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro, 564 U.S. 873, 131 S. Ct. 2780, 180 L. Ed. 2d 765 (2011).
	Ind.—Boyer v. Smith, 42 N.E.3d 505 (Ind. 2015).
	Neb.—State v. Planck, 289 Neb. 510, 856 N.W.2d 112 (2014).
	Tenn.—Turner v. Turner, 473 S.W.3d 257 (Tenn. 2015).
	Wyo.—Crofts v. State ex rel. Dept. of Game and Fish, 2016 WY 4, 367 P.3d 619 (Wyo. 2016).
2	§ 18.
3	Idaho—State v. Garcia, 159 Idaho 6, 355 P.3d 635 (2015).
	Ind.—Marion County Auditor v. State, 33 N.E.3d 398 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2015).
	III.—People v. Castleberry, 2015 IL 116916, 398 III. Dec. 22, 43 N.E.3d 932 (III. 2015).
	Utah—Salt Lake City Corp. v. Jordan River Restoration Network, 2012 UT 84, 299 P.3d 990 (Utah 2012).
4	Ohio—Lake Ski I-80, Inc. v. Habowski, 2015-Ohio-5535, 2015 WL 9594789 (Ohio Ct. App. 11th Dist. Trumbull County 2015).
5	Neb.—RFD-TV, LLC v. WildOpenWest Finance, LLC, 288 Neb. 318, 849 N.W.2d 107 (2014).
	Okla.—Guffey v. Ostonakulov, 2014 OK 6, 321 P.3d 971 (Okla. 2014).
	Tex.—TV Azteca v. Ruiz, 44 Media L. Rep. (BNA) 1443, 2016 WL 766927 (Tex. 2016).
6	Ind.—Boyer v. Smith, 42 N.E.3d 505 (Ind. 2015).
	Okla.—Burggraf Services, Inc. v. H2O Solutions, 2014 OK CIV APP 88, 369 P.3d 52 (Div. 3 2014).
7	§ 104.
8	U.S.—Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown, 564 U.S. 915, 131 S. Ct. 2846, 180 L. Ed. 2d 796 (2011); Martinez v. Aero Caribbean, 764 F.3d 1062 (9th Cir. 2014), cert. denied, 135 S. Ct. 2310, 191 L. Ed. 2d 978 (2015).
	Ark.—Beason v. Parks, 2015 Ark. App. 246, 459 S.W.3d 841 (2015).
	Cal.—Young v. Daimler AG, 228 Cal. App. 4th 855, 175 Cal. Rptr. 3d 811 (1st Dist. 2014).
	Mo.—KNT Management, LLC v. Flenoid, 419 S.W.3d 897 (Mo. Ct. App. E.D. 2014).
	Neb.—RFD-TV, LLC v. WildOpenWest Finance, LLC, 288 Neb. 318, 849 N.W.2d 107 (2014).

As to minimum contacts required for personal jurisdiction within due process requirements, see § 46.

U.S.—J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro, 564 U.S. 873, 131 S. Ct. 2780, 180 L. Ed. 2d 765 (2011).

R.I.—Sidell v. Sidell, 18 A.3d 499 (R.I. 2011).

Tenn.—Turner v. Turner, 473 S.W.3d 257 (Tenn. 2015).

Minn.—In re Civil Commitment of Nielsen, 863 N.W.2d 399 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015), review denied (Apr. 14, 2015).

Miss.—Greater Fairview Missionary Baptist Church v. Hollins, 160 So. 3d 223 (Miss. 2015).

End of Document

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.